Yavapai College SLOA Committee Minutes

Friday, August 22, 2025 9:00-10:30 am
ZOOM (Meeting ID TBD)
https://yavapai.zoom.us/j/98050193579?from=addon

https://yavapai.zoom.us/j/980501935/9?from=addon				
<u>Topic</u>	<u>Discussion</u>	<u>Action</u>		
Call to	Voting Members:			
order/	Chair: Bambi Pish-Derr (Chair, AD2)			
Establish	Faculty: Aderemi Adedokun (AD5), Eric Hall (AD5), Sean Holmes			
Quorum	(AD5), Jennifer Jacobson (AD4), Robert Moon (AD1), Jennifer Riley			
	(AD5), Casey Truse (AD2), Megan Vandermeer (AD2)			
	Staff: Stacey Hilton (OIS), Kim Howell (OIS), Cal Peterson (Stu			
	Dev)			
	Student: Kelley Maerz			
	Non-Voting Members:			
	Staff: Shelly Gilliam (Lib Serv), Sarah Southwick (OIS)			
	ELT: Doug Berry (ELT), Marylou Mercado (ELT)			
Minutes	1. Review minutes from August 2025 meeting			
Minutes	a. The committee reviewed the minutes and voted to approve			
	them.			
	them.			
	2. Discuss the proposed Digital Literacy ILO	Sarah will reach out to		
	a. Draft of Digital Literacy Outcome (proposed by Dr. Berry):	other institutions with		
	Digital literacy is the ability to access, evaluate, create, and	Digital Literacy as an		
	communicate information through digital and AI	ILO and inquiry as to		
	technologies, applying ethical judgment across academic,	what it means at a		
	professional, and civic contexts.	faculty level and an		
	b. Student Development discussed having Digital Literacy and	institution level.		
	feel it should be its own ILO. At this point, they do not			
	currently assess it, but they would works towards being able	Remi will reach out to		
	to do so.	GCU and inquire		
	c. Library Services commented that AI and digital literacy are	about their assessment		
	being built into offerings by the tutoring center and in the	of Digital Literacy.		
	Academic Success orientation. None of these services are			
	required for students.	Bambi will take it to		
	d. BSN like that it has AI in the definition and feel it should be	the Micro-		
	embedded within the 100 and 200-level courses, like within	credentialing		
	the Gen Ed courses. Do not currently teach in 300- and 400-	Committee for		
	level courses, but it might be able to be included in the	consideration.		
	Nursing Informatics type courses. The Associates has a			
	similar situation.			
	e. Social Sciences requested feedback but had not received any			
	in time for the meeting. Some courses ae structured to			
	provide the digital resource students must incorporate in their			
	essays rather than requiring the students to find their own,			
	partly due to not having pre-requisites on courses.			
	f. Business has one course that could contain digital literacy –			
	Personal Finance.			
	a taseam a maneer	I.		

j. k.	CNT assumes students already have a base of digital literacy to come into their courses that the students should be ready to use. From a student perspective, students use Grammarly and other types of AI for writing assistance. It would be valuable to learn how to actually use it and the ethical uses of it. There was a suggestion to build a course all students need to take that focused on digital literacy or an idea to embed it in a new student orientation. An added suggestion was to propose it as a micro-credential. There was a general comment that many courses do not have existing pre-requisites on courses meaning the students can take the courses in whatever order they want. This would mean there is no guaranteed way to build digital literacy skills in a liner way. A general consensus is that there should be more policies and procedures around AI. Babmi shared that the Instruction Committee is looking into reviewing and revising the current AI policies.	
	While an ILO needs to be measured throughout the college – in Gen Ed, CTE, and cocurricular areas – that does not mean every area has to measure Digital Literacy. The committee would like to learn how assessment of digital	
	learning looks and how it is assessed throughout a college.	
	sment Day (A-Day) Updates	Kim and Sarah will
a. b. c.	 A-Day Activity Kim overviewed the activity as another way to get faculty involved. There was a request to open the form up to full-time faculty who will be attending digitally. A-Day Packet Sarah provided an overview of the A-Day packet. There was a suggestion to add a way to gather Digital Literacy feedback to the packet, perhaps a QR code with a short form. Virtual Rooms There was a request to create a list of remote full-time faculty and send them a directed email with links to the virtual sessions and a virtual A-Day packet. 	create a digital version of the A-Day Activity for online FT faculty attendees. Additionally, they will find a way to solicit Digital Literacy ILO in the packet. Stacey will work with Sarah to identify remote FT faculty who need to be contacted about A-Day virtual sessions and packet.
4. Review	w monthly topics for SLO Assessment	Sarah will create a
a.	Sarah put together a list of topics to be presented over the year. She will build a Canvas module for each topic to be reviewed and discussed by the committee each month. The committee reviewed the list of topics and voted to approve them.	Canvas module around the first topic – Why SLO Assessment – and make it available to the committee for review and discussion at the October meeting.

5.	Other updates/New Business a. 11/07/25 NEean Fall Forum: Assessment in the Age of AI: People First, Programs Forward b. 01/30-31/26 13 th Annual SLO Symposium c. 04/10/26 Assess Arizona Regional Assessment Conference
6.	Adjourn
•	Next meeting: October 10th, 2025 at 9:00 am Assessment Day is Friday, September 26th